

PAPER NAME

PROSIDING 5.pdf

Jun 22, 2023 4:48 PM GMT+8	Jun 22, 2023 4:48 PM GMT+8
SUBMISSION DATE	REPORT DATE
7 Pages	590.1KB
PAGE COUNT	FILE SIZE
4011 Words	18655 Characters
WORD COUNT	CHARACTER COUNT

• 4% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 4% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

• Excluded from Similarity Report

- Internet database
- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources

- Crossref database
- Submitted Works database
- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less then 10 words)
- Manually excluded text blocks



Overview of School Readiness to Face the Computer-Based National Examination in Gowa District, South Sulawesi: Analysis of Test Results of Computer-Based Tests (CBT) and Paper-Based Tests (PBT)

Syahrul^{1,*} Iwan Suhardi¹ Alifya N.F.H.¹

¹Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia *Corresponding author. Email: syahrul@unm.ac.id

ABSTRACT

At present, the Computer-Based National Examination (CBNE) model to replace the Paper and Pencil-Based National Examination (PBNE). The National Examination Organizer assumes that the items displayed on the monitor screen have the same difficulty index when presented on paper. Based on this assumption, CBNE and PBNE are considered equal. However, judging from the context and the situation, the paper pencil-based test (PBT) and computer-based test (CBT) model has differences, namely the number of items in the range of views, test aid, the types of question that can be displayed, the color of the test items, how to do the test, the need for basic knowledge of operating a computer, and the habit factor. The differences between the two test models can be psychologically influential when working on a computer-based test. This research is to review how schools prepare their students for CBNE. This research uses a combination of development methods and quantitative methods. The development method is used to develop (1) a set of question item packages and (2) Computer-Based Testing (CBT) software. The test was conducted on 452 students in class XII, from 3 (three) schools in Gowa Regency, South Sulawesi, namely SMK N 1 Gowa, SMK N 2 Gowa, and SMK N 4 Gowa. The statistical test results showed the level of school readiness in preparing students for CBNE. If the results of the statistical test do not show any differences in the results of the test scores, then the school students are considered ready to implement CBNE. If the results of the statistical test show that there are differences in the results of the test scores, then the magnitude of the average results will be seen. If the average size of the PBT group test scores is lower than the CBT group test scores, then the school students are considered ready for CBNE. However, if the average amount of PBT group test scores is higher than the CBT group test scores, then the school students are considered not ready for CBNE. The results showed that, in general, students at the schools are considered ready for CBNE.

Keywords: School readiness level indicator, CBNE, CBT, PBT, score results

1. INTRODUCTION

This research has been done because there is an increasing number of implementations of the Computer-Based Testing (CBT) model as a way to analysis the ability of test-takers. CBT began to gradually replace the function of the Paper-Based Test (PBT) [1] [2]. A concrete example of CBT penetration is in the development of the National Examination in Indonesia. The trend of using the CBT testing model in an educational sector is predicted to increase, replacing the PBT model continually.

The implementation of the National Examination in Indonesia currently uses 2 (two) testing models, namely using paper and computer test and it has been changed to the CBT model. The National Examination, which uses paper, is known as PBNE, or in Indonesia, it is termed as UNKP. The computer-delivered national examination is known as CBNE, or in Indonesia, it is termed as UNBK (Ujian Nasional Berbasis Komputer). The National Examination Institutional assumes that the items displayed on the UNBK software monitor screen will have the same level of difficulty when delivered at UNKP, which uses paper. With this assumption, the results-scores of the UNBK and UNKP test models are



considered equivalent. The difference in the context and the situation between the PBT and CBT testing models, as well as the habit factor in exam-taking, can psychologically affect the students during their computer-based examination. On the other hand, the anxiety factors could influence the examination process, which then can negatively affect the focus of the students during the computer-based exam.

Psychometrically, there are almost no advantages between CBT compared to PBT. The testing models use the same number of items for each participant or fixlength test. In scoring, the PBT and CBT models use Classical Test Theory (CTT) with the formula:

Test Scores =
$$\frac{\text{Question items answered correctly}}{\text{The Total of Question Items}}$$

Generally, the test scores are converted to a score of 0 to 100. The greater the test scores obtained, then the

estimated level of students' ability is considered to be higher (in other words, students are considered smarter).

As it utilizes a more modern media tools, CBT has advantages compared to PBT, namely (1) increase standardization, (2) increase test security, (3) enhance test display capabilities, (4) minimize the error of measurement, and (5) accelerate the provision of score and interpretation [3]. Although they have the same paradigm for measuring the ability of test-takers, the PBT and CBT models have striking differences in terms of context and situation. The difference in the context and situational aspects between PBT and CBT is possible to influence the results of the test scores and determine the estimation of students' ability. Psychometrics experts, such as Rudner [4] and Grist [5], argue that the item parameters used on PBT may not match the appearance on the computer monitor screen.

Context and Situation	PBT Model	CBT Model		
The number of items in the range of	Consist of many	Generally, it only has 1 (one) question item. I need		
views	question items	to scroll the question if it is too long.		
Test Aid	Paper and Pencil	Monitor screen, CPU, keyboard, mouse, and		
	r aper and r enem	speaker		
Types of question that can be displayed	Text and Pictures	Text, picture, audio, and video		
The way question items can be answered	Marking the selected	Select the correct answer using a mouse or		
The way question items can be answered	answer using a pencil	keyboard		
Basic knowledge of information	Not needed	Needed		
technology	Not lieeded	Ineeueu		
The color of question items given	Mostly black	Possible for all colors		
The habit factors in addressing the	Students are used to it	Students are still unfamiliar with it		
questions	Students are used to it	Students are sun unanniar with it		

The objective of this paper is comparing the CBT model and the PBT model in student readiness in Gowa Regency.

2. METHOD

This research used a combination of development methods and quantitative methods. The development method was used to develop the test package of questions set and CBT software. The developed questions were chosen from general subjects. They were taught to all high school students without the difference in Core Competencies and Basic Competencies. The question package consisted of 50 (fifty) items with material taken from Indonesian Language Class XII in accordance with the latest 2013 Curriculum. The data was obtained by forming 2 (two) equal groups of respondents, and each group worked on the same questions package with the PBT and CBT testing models.

The CBT model was developed using a web-based client-server system that can be accessed via the LAN network. Similar to the PBT testing model, CBT software was designed to enable the respondents to choose the desired item number. Furthermore, they could review their response if they wanted to replace it. The way to answer the question items on the PBT model is by circling the chosen answers on the answer sheet using a pencil. In contrast, the way to answer the question items on CBT is by selecting the answer that is considered correct with the mouse or keyboard.

In general, the flowchart in this study is presented in Figure 1. Statistical analysis is used after collecting of test scores of the groups of respondents. It aims to find out that there are any significant differences between the two test models. The results of the analytical output from SPSS were then evaluated and used as an indicator to determine whether the students will be ready for a computer-based test or not.



Figure 1 The Flowchart of The Research

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Respondents were drawn from students in class XII in schools in Gowa Regency, South Sulawesi, namely in SMK N 1 Gowa, SMK N 2 Gowa, and SMK N 4 Gowa. The respondents are 452 students, consisting of the PBT model with 230 respondents and 222 respondents used CBT test model. After the test was conducted, the results of the PBT group and CBT group are presented in Table 2 and Table 3.

Table 2.	The	Results	of	the	PBT
----------	-----	---------	----	-----	-----

38	68	54	34	48	56	46	46	56	80	36
44	68	54	20	44	38	28	38	50	56	38
44	76	54	52	44	70	44	50	46	34	50
36	74	50	40	34	62	44	54	54	40	42
36	64	44	40	32	38	38	58	54	56	34
50	76	58	58	64	50	26	56	48	54	48
58	66	48	42	34	62	50	66	34	54	42
46	74	44	50	50	38	28	46	66	56	40
58	68	44	56	28	58	52	36	68	50	50
30	74	64	42	34	36	30	76	54	50	38
58	70	64	48	42	38	50	18	54	48	32
58	78	64	56	24	52	28	22	26	22	70
58	48	68	48	60	48	54	36	50	54	58
58	64	74	48	26	54	38	48	58	40	34
60	74	74	44	46	56	46	48	52	50	22
54	76	64	50	44	36	46	60	40	56	30
60	76	64	48	50	52	50	38	58	48	36
44	78	34	56	50	42	56	32	38	48	38
56	82	34	54	48	28	66	50	64	24	24
50	40	54	68	74	70	70	66	67	16	50
46	74	64	58	20	52	48	52	42	48	
Number of Respondents								230		
Minimum Score							16			
Maximum Score								82		
Average Score									49.72	
Dev	iatio	n Stan	dard						13.83	

Table 3. The Results of the CBT Test Model

50 60 42 58 42 34 52 40 46 66 60 30 46 44 58 52 32 46 60 52 68 66 34 46 40 46 24 58 46 34 36 68 68 36 60 46 52 52 50 28 64 48 70 56 30 60 46 46 60 44 36 32 48 74 56 28 68 46 44 66 28 62 60 42 72 48 42 66 42 48 52 26 18 52 38 48 42 50 56 54 42 36 54 54 32 46 26 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 52 40 48 50 22 66 36 48											
30 46 44 58 52 32 46 60 52 68 66 34 46 40 46 24 58 46 34 36 68 68 36 60 46 52 52 50 28 64 48 70 56 30 60 46 46 60 44 36 32 48 74 56 28 68 46 44 66 28 62 60 42 72 48 42 66 42 48 52 26 18 52 38 48 42 50 56 54 42 36 54 54 32 46 32 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 30 52 66 36 48	50	60	42	58	42	34	52	40	46	66	60
34 46 40 46 24 58 46 34 36 68 68 36 60 46 52 52 50 28 64 48 70 56 30 60 46 46 60 44 36 32 48 74 56 28 68 46 44 66 28 62 60 42 72 48 42 66 42 48 52 26 18 52 38 48 42 50 56 54 42 36 54 54 32 46 26 42 38 68 52 40 38 38 24 30 46 32 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 30 86 22 40 48 58 32 58	30	46	44	58	52	32	46	60	52	68	66
36 60 46 52 52 50 28 64 48 70 56 30 60 46 46 60 44 36 32 48 74 56 28 68 46 44 66 28 62 60 42 72 48 42 66 42 48 52 26 18 52 38 48 42 50 56 54 42 36 54 54 32 46 26 42 38 68 52 40 38 38 24 30 46 32 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 58 28 60 40 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62	34	46	40	46	24	58	46	34	36	68	68
30 60 46 46 60 44 36 32 48 74 56 28 68 46 44 66 28 62 60 42 72 48 42 66 42 48 52 26 18 52 38 48 42 50 56 54 42 36 54 54 32 46 26 42 38 68 52 40 38 38 24 30 46 32 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 58 22 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58	36	60	46	52	52	50	28	64	48	70	56
28 68 46 44 66 28 62 60 42 72 48 42 66 42 48 52 26 18 52 38 48 42 50 56 54 42 36 54 54 32 46 26 42 38 68 52 40 38 38 24 30 46 32 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 58 28 60 40 44 38 60 54 60 26 46 30 52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 60	30	60	46	46	60	44	36	32	48	74	56
42 66 42 48 52 26 18 52 38 48 42 50 56 54 42 36 54 54 32 46 26 42 38 68 52 40 38 38 24 30 46 32 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 58 28 60 40 44 38 60 54 60 26 46 30 52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 40 58 50	28	68	46	44	66	28	62	60	42	72	48
50 56 54 42 36 54 54 32 46 26 42 38 68 52 40 38 38 24 30 46 32 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 58 28 60 40 44 38 60 54 60 26 46 30 52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 44 62 62 40	42	66	42	48	52	26	18	52	38	48	42
38 68 52 40 38 38 24 30 46 32 44 50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 58 28 60 40 44 38 60 54 60 26 46 30 52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 40 56 60 46 42 50 50 60 38 58 52 56 56 34	50	56	54	42	36	54	54	32	46	26	42
50 58 36 26 44 30 86 22 40 48 50 22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 58 28 60 40 44 38 60 54 60 26 46 30 52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 40 56 60 46 42 50 50 60 72 40 52 56 56 34 50 50 44 38 42 62 44 60 36 34 60	38	68	52	40	38	38	24	30	46	32	44
22 66 50 40 50 50 62 44 50 46 58 28 60 40 44 38 60 54 60 26 46 30 52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 40 56 60 46 42 50 50 60 72 40 52 56 56 34 50 50 44 54 36 68 44 62 42 40 18 46 40 38 28 60 38 58 52 42 50 52	50	58	36	26	44	30	86	22	40	48	50
28 60 40 44 38 60 54 60 26 46 30 52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 40 56 60 46 42 50 50 60 72 40 52 56 56 34 50 50 44 54 36 68 44 62 62 40 18 46 40 38 28 60 38 58 52 42 50 52 58 28 44 38 42 62 44 50 36 34 60	22	66	50	40	50	50	62	44	50	46	58
52 66 36 48 54 48 58 32 58 48 36 50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 40 56 60 46 42 50 50 60 72 40 52 56 56 34 50 50 44 54 36 68 44 62 62 40 18 46 40 38 28 60 38 58 52 42 50 52 58 28 44 38 42 62 44 50 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>28</td> <td>60</td> <td>40</td> <td>44</td> <td>38</td> <td>60</td> <td>54</td> <td>60</td> <td>26</td> <td>46</td> <td>30</td>	28	60	40	44	38	60	54	60	26	46	30
50 62 34 58 54 62 64 44 18 62 30 50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 40 56 60 46 42 50 50 60 72 40 52 56 56 34 50 50 44 54 36 68 44 62 62 40 18 46 40 38 28 60 38 58 52 42 50 52 58 28 44 38 42 62 44 50 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36	52	66	36	48	54	48	58	32	58	48	36
50 70 56 42 46 50 54 68 52 28 62 50 40 56 60 46 42 50 50 60 72 40 52 56 56 34 50 50 44 54 36 68 44 62 62 40 18 46 40 38 28 60 38 58 52 42 50 52 58 28 44 38 42 62 44 50 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 -	50	62	34	58	54	62	64	44	18	62	30
50 40 56 60 46 42 50 50 60 72 40 52 56 56 34 50 50 44 54 36 68 44 62 62 40 18 46 40 38 28 60 38 58 52 42 50 52 58 28 44 38 42 62 44 50 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 Minimum Score 1 1 <t< td=""><td>50</td><td>70</td><td>56</td><td>42</td><td>46</td><td>50</td><td>54</td><td>68</td><td>52</td><td>28</td><td>62</td></t<>	50	70	56	42	46	50	54	68	52	28	62
52 56 56 34 50 50 44 54 36 68 44 62 62 40 18 46 40 38 28 60 38 58 52 42 50 52 58 28 44 38 42 62 44 50 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 - <td>50</td> <td>40</td> <td>56</td> <td>60</td> <td>46</td> <td>42</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>60</td> <td>72</td> <td>40</td>	50	40	56	60	46	42	50	50	60	72	40
62 62 40 18 46 40 38 28 60 38 58 52 42 50 52 58 28 44 38 42 62 44 50 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 Number of Respondents 222 Maximum Score 86 Average Score 47.41	52	56	56	34	50	50	44	54	36	68	44
52 42 50 52 58 28 44 38 42 62 44 50 36 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 Number of Respondents 222 Minimum Score 18 Maximum Score 86 Average Score 47.41	62	62	40	18	46	40	38	28	60	38	58
50 36 34 60 60 30 38 44 40 46 60 36 Image: Score interval and	52	42	50	52	58	28	44	38	42	62	44
60 36 Image: Constraint of the second ents 222 Minimum Score 18 Maximum Score 86 Average Score 47.41	50	36	36	34	60	60	30	38	44	40	46
Number of Respondents222Minimum Score18Maximum Score86Average Score47.41	60	36									
Minimum Score18Maximum Score86Average Score47.41	Number of Respondents								222		
Maximum Score86Average Score47.41	Minimum Score								18		
Average Score 47.41	Maximum Score								86		
*	Ave	erage	Score							47.41	
Deviation Standard 12.42	Dev	viation	ı Stan	dard						12.4	12

⁴**able 4.** Tests of Normality

St	udents	Kolmo Smir	ogoro rnova	V -	Shapiro-Wilk				
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.		
core	PBT	.059	230	.049	.990	230	.093		
S	CBT	.055	222	.096	.992	222	.264		
a I	a Lilliefors Significance Correction								

Table 4 shows the results of the Lilliefors and Shapiro Wilk tests. The score of P-value (Sig) Lilliefors vas 0.049 in the PBT group and 0.096 in the CBT group. The P-value of the Shapiro Wilk test in the PBT group was 0.93, and in the CBT group was 0.264. Table 3 shows that the scores of the PBT group for the Lilliefors test obtained p-value (Sig) of 0.049, all the results of the test scores > 0.05. The scores of the PBT group for the Lilliefors test obtained p-value (Sig) of 0.049 is generally assumed that both the PBT and CBT groups are normally distributed. Assumptions that the two groups are ormally distributed can be seen in the visual appearance of the Q-Q Plot and P-P Plot as follows. The display Q-Q Plot and P-P plot, it is found that the data or point spreads around the diagonal line and follows the direction of the diagonal line.





Figure 2 The Visual Display of Q-Q Plot dan P-P Plot

Homogeneity independent t-test results for the entire respondent's data are shown in Table 5. Levene values are shown in the line of values based on mean, which is 1.313 with a p-value (sig) of 0.252, which is > 0.05, which means there is a similarity of variances between groups or which means homogeneous.

Stem-leaf diagrams (Figure 3) and box-plot diagrams (Figure 4) were used to detect outliers. In the stem-leaf diagram, the presence of an outlier is marked based on whether there are extreme values above and below the stem-leaf. Based on the results, there are no extreme values, which means there are no outliers in the two groups. Outlier detection can also be assessed using the following box-plot.

Table 5 Homogeneity Test

		Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
	Based on Mean	1.313	1	450	.252
	Based on Median	1.268	1	450	.261
Value	Based on Median and with adjusted df	1.268	1	439.984	.261
	Based on trimmed mean	1.305	1	450	.254

The box-plot does not indicate that there are plots above and / or below the box-plot, which means there are no outliers in the data of the two groups. Because all assumptions are fulfilled, it can proceed to the next test, namely the Independent T-Test.

Comparing the average test results, the difference between PBT group and the CBT group is conducted by using the t-test number. The analysis assumes both variances are similar (equal variances are assumed). This figure was used because the results of the analysis using the F test showed no difference in variance between the two groups.

TI ANTIS



Figure 3 Detection of Outliers with Box-plot

Group Statistics										
Students		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean					
Coores	PBT	230	49.7217	13.82710	.91173					
Scores	CBT	222	47.4144	12.41658	.83335					

ble 6 SPSS Outputs Assessment Results of PBT and CBT Testing Models
--

	Independent Samples Test									
		Levene's Equal Varia	Levene's Test for Equality of t-test for Equality of Means Variances							
		F	Sig.	t	Df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Co Intervo Diffo	onfidence al of the erence
									Lower	Upper
scores	Equal variances assumed	1.313	.252	1.864	450	.063	2.30732	1.23755	12477	4.73942
	Equal variances not assumed			1.868	447.686	.062	2.30732	1.23520	12019	4.73484

The steps are taken to test the average P&P Test model, and the CBT model is:

- 1. Formulating research hypotheses
 - There are differences in the average assessment results between groups of PBT and CBT testing models
- 2. Formulating operational hypotheses (null and alternative hypotheses)

Ho: The average assessment results using the PBT and CBT methods are the same

Ha: The average results of the assessment using the PBT and CBT methods are not the same

- Determining the level of trust used The confidence level used is 95% or using alpha 5%.
 Determining the decision-making rules
- 4. Determining the decision-making rules The rule in making decisions is to accept H0 if the t count is smaller than the t table and reject H0 if the t count is greater than t table. Based on the t table with alpha, 5% 2-way test or 2.5%, and the degree of freedom df = 450, the value of the t table is 1.96525. So the decision taken is to accept H0 if t arithmetic smaller than 1.96525 and reject H0 if t arithmetic greater than 1.96525
- 5. Calculating t count or t statistics

The SPSS 16.0 assistive program is used to calculate t count is 1.864

6. Decision making and interpretation of results

After calculating t statistics, the final step is to make a decision on the results of the analysis and interpretation of these results. The average difference in the assessment results between the PBT and CBT methods is 2.30732, with a standard deviation of 1.23755. The results of the t statistical calculation resulted in a value of 1.864 and a significance of 0.063.



Figure 4 Two Tail Hypothesis Test Results Assessment

With a significance result of 0.063, the decision to accept H0 can be taken because the significance level is greater than alpha (0.025). The results of the calculation of the t value (1.864) turned out to fall in the reception area, then H0 is accepted. Therefore, H0 is accepted, and Ha is rejected. This means, from the results of the analysis, it can be stated that statistically, the values of the two methods (PBT and CBT models) are stated to be no significant difference.

In a deeper analysis, there is an average difference (mean difference) of 2.30732, which indicates the difference in the average scores of PBT and CBT, which is 49.7217 for PBT and 47.4144 for CBT. This indicates that there is no significant difference between two groups. However the average scores CBT model are smaller than the PBT model. So that, it can be concluded that the same package of questions, when done with the CBT method, is still felt more difficult by test-takers compared to if it is done with the PBT method.

The analysis shows that in classical theory, there are differences in the average results of the exam scores if the same package of questions is displayed with the PBT model and the CBT model. The package of questions displayed on the CBT monitor screen using a mouse and keyboard is felt to have a different level of difficulty when presented on a paper and using a pencil.

In addition to the different aspects of context and situation, the factor of the unfamiliarity of students working on problems with a computer-based test model can contribute to the differences of average scores between the PBT and CBT models. In general, not many schools have applied computer-based testing models to classroom learning practices. The number of computer laboratories is not proportional to the overall number of students. With the UNBK policy implemented by the government, it was responded by conducting socialization on the use of computer-based exams for final-level students several months before the National Examination. However, such a short time does not necessarily result in students becoming accustomed to taking computer-based exams.

In the use of CBT, it is important to consider the aspects of computer self-efficacy or the students' confidence as being successful in working on a computer-based test. Computer self-efficacy helps to reduce student anxiety levels in taking computer-based exams [6] [7] [8]. With the reduced level of anxiety, students can focus more on working on problems and can show their best abilities. On the other hand, one way to reduce test anxiety using a computer is to improve students' computer experience and confidence in taking computer-based exams [9] [10]. It is important to provide the opportunities for students to become familiar with the CBT model [11]. Making students familiar with reproducing CBT model trials before test day can reduce anxiety factors. It will be beneficial for students who are economically disadvantaged and do not have computers. Furthermore, the habituation of this exam model is expected to increase students' confidence in being able to succeed at this UNBK so that they do not feel significant obstacles compared to other students who take the UNKP.

Although there are indicators that students are declared ready to face UNBK in the use of computerbased testing media. It does not mean that they will be successful and get high test scores if a computer-based testing model is conducted. This strategy is only an indicator of readiness to use a computer that is related to the level of student habits towards computer-based testing. To get high scores on UNBK/CBNE, of course, it remains focused on the mastery of the material contained in the learning process.

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that there were no significant differences in the score results if the same question items were displayed with the Paper Based Test (PBT) model and the Computer-Based Testing (CBT) model. This indicates that, in general, students are considered ready to do computer-based exams such as CBNE (UNBK). However, there is a difference in the average (mean difference) of 2.30732. It indicates a difference in the average scores of PBT and CBT, which is 49.7217 for PBT and 47.4144 for CBT. Although statistically stated there is no significant difference between groups working on the PBT and CBT testing models, but when viewed from the average scores, it can also be stated that the same question package, when worked with the CBT method,



will be felt as more difficult for test-takers compared to if it is done by the PBT method. In this case, students need more opportunities to get used to using a computerbased testing model so that the level of readiness to face CBNE (UNBK) may increase.

REFERENCES

- A. C. Bugbee Jr, "The equivalence of paper-andpencil and computer-based testing," J. Res. Comput. Educ., vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 282–299, 1996.
- [2] P. for I. S. Assessment, PISA Computer-based Assessment of Student Skills in Science. OECD, 2010.
- [3] C. V. Bunderson, D. K. Inouye, and J. B. Olsen, "The four generations of computerized educational measurement," ETS Res. Rep. Ser., vol. 1988, no. 1, pp. i–148, 1988.
- [4] L. M. Rudner, "An on-line, interactive, computer adaptive testing tutorial," ERIC Clear. Assess. Eval., 1998.
- [5] S. Grist, Computerized adaptive tests. ERIC Clearinghouse, 1989.
- [6] D. R. Compeau and C. A. Higgins, "Computer selfefficacy: Development of a measure and initial test," MIS Q., pp. 189–211, 1995.
- [7] S. P. John, "Influence of computer self-efficacy on information technology adoption," Int. J. Inf. Technol., vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2013.
- [8] H. K. Sam, A. E. A. Othman, and Z. S. Nordin, "Computer self-efficacy, computer anxiety, and attitudes toward the Internet: A study among undergraduates in Unimas," J. Educ. Technol. Soc., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 205–219, 2005.
- [9] M. Zeidner, "Test anxiety," Corsini Encycl. Psychol., pp. 1–3, 2010.
- [10] R. M. Liebert and L. W. Morris, "Cognitive and emotional components of test anxiety: A distinction and some initial data," Psychol. Rep., vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 975–978, 1967.
- [11] M. Russell, "Testing On Computers," Educ. Policy Anal. Arch., vol. 7, p. 20, 1999.

turnitin

• 4% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

• 4% Publications database

- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	VNUA Publication	1%
2	AmaAmalia Nabilah Al-Dama, Daviq Rizal. "The Effectiveness of Shado Crossref	<1%
3	Jaime de Melo, Anna Twum. "Prospects and Challenges for Supply Ch Crossref	<1%
4	Hasan Arifuddin. "Sex-based Short-term Memory of Vocabulary Traine Crossref	<1%
5	Pallant, Julie. "SPSS Survival Manual: A Step by Step Guide to Data An Publication	<1%
6	Andi Sukainah, Ratnawaty Fadilah, Reski Praja Putra, Akifah. "Analysis Crossref	<1%
7	"Exploring Data Tables, Trends, and Shapes", Wiley, 2006 Crossref	<1%

• Excluded from Similarity Report

- Internet database
- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources

- Submitted Works database
- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less then 10 words)
- Manually excluded text blocks

EXCLUDED SOURCES

Syahrul, Iwan Suhardi, Lu'mu. "Comparative Study of The Difficulty Index of It... 15% Crossref

EXCLUDED TEXT BLOCKS

Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume

Sriwijaya University on 2023-04-09

Overview of School Readiness to Face the Computer-Based National Examination i...

toc.proceedings.com