

**Paper acceptance letter**

to: **Rusli Ismail, Alimuddin Mahmud, Sulaiman Samad, Husain Syam**

**Dear Authors,**

We are pleased to inform you that your paper entitled **“The effective leadership model with local wisdom for principal’s state technology high schools in Sulawesi Indonesia”** was reviewed by 2 reviewers and got positive opinion. This paper has been accepted for publication at the peer- reviewed **“International Journal of Academic Research”**, Baku, Azerbaijan to be published in January 30, 2015 (Vol. 7, No. 1).

For information: “IJAR”™ is indexed/abstracted in “Master Journal List” (ISI - Thomson Reuters), Ulrich’sWeb (USA), “Scirus-Elsevier” (Netherlands), “EBSCO-Academic Search Complete” (USA), “Zentralblatt MATH” (Springer Verlag-Germany), “IndexCopernicus International” (Poland), “Norwegian Social Science Data Services”, “Periodicos (CAPes)”, “CAB Abstracts”, “Global Health”, “Genamics” (USA), “Google Scholar” (USA), “Periodiques” (France) and etc.

**Publishing director:**



**J.Jafarov**

Title **THE EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP MODEL WITH LOCAL WISDOM FOR PRINCIPAL'S STATE TECHNOLOGY HIGH SCHOOLS IN SULAWESI INDONESIA**

Author(s) **Rusli Ismail, Alimuddin Mahmud, Sulaiman Samad, Husain Syam**

<b>Score Card for Manuscript Assessment</b>		Reviewer score	Maximum Score
<b>A. Content</b>	<b>Introduction</b>		
	1. The actual gaps of knowledge are clearly defined	8.5	10
	2. The originality main idea (novelty in problem solving or scientific development) must be stated.	8.5	10
	3. Define the main goal and implication (beneficial) of the proposed topic in scientific development and/or practical use.	9	10
	<b>Methodology</b>		
	4. Materials and methods should be appropriate and suitable to achieve the proposed goal.	7.5	10
	5. Provide enough detail for a competent worker to repeat the study and reproduce the results.	7.5	10
	<b>Results and discussion</b>		
	6. Comprise the new knowledge that author is contributing to the world of knowledge.	8	10
	7. Discuss the significance of the results.	8	10
8. Specificity and/or superiority of the problem solving.	7.5	10	
<b>References</b>			
9. It must be in accordance with the problem under consideration (relevant).	3.5	5	
10. What are in the text, it must exist in the references list	5	5	
<b>B. Presentation</b>	1. Concise-Complete-Coherent-Clear	3.5	5
	2. Scientific English	3.5	5
Total score		80.5	100

*The minimum score for acceptance*

*80 (in condition no.10 is 5)*

**Recommendation for acceptance**

Qualified for publication

**Recommendation for improvement**

Author(s) have to check and improve items that appeared in galley proof before publishing.