

PAPER NAME

Development of Experiment-Based Physics Worksheets in Science in Developing Students' Science Proces

AUTHOR

Khaeruddin

WORD COUNT

3564 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

20242 Characters

PAGE COUNT

7 Pages

FILE SIZE

415.4KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Feb 20, 2023 1:13 AM GMT+8

REPORT DATE

Feb 20, 2023 1:14 AM GMT+8


● 15% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 11% Internet database
- 5% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 4% Submitted Works database

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Quoted material
- Cited material
- Small Matches (Less than 10 words)
- Manually excluded sources
- Manually excluded text blocks



Development of Experiment-Based Physics Worksheets in Science in Developing Students' Science Process Skills

Haerani^{1*}, Muhammad Arsyad¹, Khaeruddin¹

¹Pendidikan Fisika, Program Pascasarjana, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia.

Received: November 12, 2022
Revised: January 13, 2023
Accepted: January 25, 2023
Published: January 31, 2023

Corresponding Author:
Haerani
ranirafiffirman@gmail.com

© 2022 The Authors. This open access article is distributed under a (CC-BY License)



DOI: [10.29303/jppipa.v9i1.2609](https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i1.2609)

Abstract: Experiment-based research on the development of student worksheets (SW) in Science Physics has been carried out to Grow Students' Science Process Skills. This study aims to produce a valid, practical, and effective experimental-based student worksheet product. The research method used is the method of research and development (Research and Development). Experiment-based student worksheet development uses the ADDIE model which consists of the Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation stages. The students involved in this study were 32 class VIII students at SMPN 51 Makassar and 7 Physics Educators who joined the MGMP IPA in the city of Makassar. The research instruments used were expert validity questionnaires, educator response questionnaires, and science process skills tests. After analyzing the validation data according to Aiken's, an expert agreement index was obtained for scientific literacy-based worksheets of 0.79, an expert response questionnaire index of 0.80, and an expert agreement index of science process skills tests of 0.83 so that all three were declared valid. Practitioners' assessment obtained an overall percentage of 90.80% which is in the very good category. Meanwhile, in terms of science process skills, students who achieve mastery learning are 75% and those who do not achieve completeness are 25%. So that it can be stated that experimental-based worksheets in science learning physics are effective for growing students' science process skills.

Keywords: Student Worksheets; Process Science; Science Process Skills

Introduction

Education can be seen as the essence of life for both personal and societal development to face the hopes and challenges of a better future. The main goal of education is to fully develop individual talents, realize creative potential, and achieve personal goals and personal responsibility for social life in society (Taufik et al., 2010). Natural Sciences (known as IPA) is the study of the entire universe and its contents. However, science has limited knowledge, namely things that can only be understood by the senses (sight, hearing, taste, touch, and touch). It can also be said that Science/Physics is the knowledge that is acquired through learning and proof (Aji et al., 2017).

The learning model in the 2013 curriculum requires students to be actively involved in the learning

process in class. Scientific activities carried out in the laboratory shows that students can acquire physics concepts and have skills. In addition, teaching materials can also help provide clear guidelines regarding the competencies that students must achieve (Khuzaimah et al., 2022). The 2013 curriculum is considered successful in encouraging students to be more authentic, skilled, and active in delivering material, as well as being more flexible in developing the information obtained (Nuryani et al., 2022).

To fulfill these demands, many efforts have been made, including using teaching materials that contain creative thinking skills needed as a facility for exploring the potential knowledge and skills of students. The development of making Student Worksheets is one of these efforts. It is hoped that students can develop their knowledge and skills

How to Cite:

Haerani, H., Arsyad, M., & Khaeruddin, K. (2023). Development of Experiment-Based Physics Worksheets in Science in Developing Students' Science Process Skills. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(1), 292–298. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i1.2609>

through learning using Student Worksheets (Aldiyah, 2021). Student worksheet emphasizes more on the process of finding concepts and in which there are variations of stimulus through various media and student activities that make learning more meaningful, effective, and fun. This statement is supported by (Islami et al., 2019) who states that the teaching and learning process will run actively, effectively, creatively, interestingly, and fun if it is supported by the availability of learning resources, and one of the learning resources that can be used is student worksheets to optimize engagement. students in the learning process.

Based on observations made at SMPN 51 Makassar, where this school is a new school that was founded in 2019, so it does not yet have adequate learning facilities, especially science physics practicum tools. Based on the results of interviews with science teachers, it was obtained information that worksheets were not yet available to help optimize learning in class, worksheets for science practicums currently used were only in textbooks and modules that only developed cognitive aspects, so special worksheets needed to be developed for experiments. The textbooks and modules used have not been able to guide students in experimental activities. Work steps were given in writing on the blackboard and orally during the experiment, and delivered orally when there were students who asked for clarity of work steps. The source comes from the knowledge possessed by educators and some are obtained from handbooks that are often used by educators and students during teaching and learning activities. The experimental guidelines contained in the book do not yet have aspects of science process skills that can foster the ability to formulate problems, hypotheses, measure, and communicate. Students need appropriate student worksheets to be able to follow the 2013 curriculum learning model that has been implemented so that the learning process can run well.

Student worksheets used in the learning process must be able to stimulate students to be able to experiment in the field. Educators also often find it difficult to assess students' abilities in predicting, measuring, and communicating material. The student worksheets must contain a process for assessing students' work results and measuring students' abilities in predicting, measuring, and communicating on a material. Students must be actively involved in learning such as finding knowledge, proving this knowledge through a practicum or experiment, and concluding it so that in the end they can create a tool or technology that can later solve the problems faced by society (Kristyowati, 2019).

Student worksheets that are commonly used by teachers come from various publishers and only contain material, questions, and student activities. This student worksheet has not been integrated with the local culture of wisdom or ethnoscience in the community so it does not teach students scientific things that are contextually experienced in their environment. Student worksheets like this cannot accommodate students' critical thinking and science skills (Widyawati et al., 2020). Therefore, learning using these student worksheets is expected to form an effective two-way interaction between teacher and students so that learning objectives can be achieved (Gusti et al., 2021).

Ongowo & Indoshi (2013) argue that science process skills help students to develop a sense of responsibility in learning and increase the importance of research methods in the learning process. Science process skills aim to enable students to be more active in understanding and mastering the sequences they do such as observing/observing, grouping/classifying, interpreting/interpreting, predicting/predicting, hypothesizing, planning experiments/research, and communicating (Prasasti, 2017). This skill needs to be understood by teachers because it is important in learning science (Rauf, et al., 2013).

Previous research conducted by Eva et al. (2019) concluded that learning tools in the form of experiment-based worksheets are needed to increase student activity in the learning process, and can assist teachers in directing their students to find concepts through their activities. Besides that, it can be used to develop students' science process skills in participating in learning. Thus, it is suspected that the experimental-based worksheets that have been developed and declared valid or suitable for use according to experts both from the feasibility of content, presentation, language, and graphics, are practical according to practitioners' assessments, and are effective for teacher use in learning according to the achievement of completeness of student learning outcomes can foster students' science process skills.

Method

This research is a Research and Development (R&D) type of research or development research using the ADDIE model (Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation). The stages of ADDIE's research and development can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. ADDIE Development Model (Branch, 2009)

This study used data collection techniques in the form of validation, questionnaires, and tests of science process skills. This study used research subjects of class VIII students consisting of 32 students. Held in the Even Semester of the 2021/2022 Academic Year and took place at SMP Negeri 51 Makassar. The analysis used to determine the level of relevance by three experts used the content validity coefficient (Aiken's V). The Aiken's V formula is used to calculate the content validity coefficient which is based on the results of each expert's assessment of an item using Formula 1 (Azwar, 2012).

$$V = \frac{\sum s}{n - (c - 1)} \tag{1}$$

Explanation:

- V : Index of expert agreement on item validation
- s : The difference in the score determined by each expert with the lowest score in the category used
- r : Rater set score
- I_o : Lowest rating score
- N : Lot of experts
- c : The highest validity rating score

The terms of the Aiken test, after calculating if $V \geq 0.4$ then the expert agreement index is said to be valid. Based on the analysis of expert validation data that has been carried out, the value of V obtained is 0.79 for expert validation of experiment-based worksheets, 0.80 for expert validation of practitioner assessment questionnaires, and 0.83 for expert validation of students' science process skills tests. So it can be concluded that the expert agreement index states that the research instrument is valid and can be tried out. Data on practitioners' assessment of experimental-based student worksheets as stated in the questionnaire sheet were analyzed using Formula 2.

$$PRS = \frac{\sum A}{\sum B} \times 100\% \tag{2}$$

Explanation:

- PRS = The percentage of the number of practitioners who respond to the categories stated in the instrument
- $\sum A$ = The total score obtained for each category is stated in the questionnaire
- $\sum B$ = The maximum score of each category that responds to the questionnaire

Calculating the percentage of practitioners' ratings for each statement with the interpretation criteria of practitioners' assessment scores is presented in Table 1. The effectiveness of experiment-based worksheets can be seen through the ability of students' science process skills. The completeness standard follows Permendikbud Number 23 of 2016, namely an assessment with a range of 1-100 where to determine the value of individual completeness descriptively is calculated using Formula 3 (Prastowo, 2015).

Table 1. Criteria for Interpretation of Practitioner Assessment Scores

Percentage (%)	Criteria
0-25	Very less
26-50	Not enough
51-75	Good
76-100	Very good

(Source: Riduwan, 2011)

$$KB = \frac{T}{T_1} \times 100\% \tag{3}$$

Explanation:

- KB : Learning completeness (individual)
- T : The number of scores obtained by students
- T₁ : The total score

The calculation results are then interpreted using an assessment classification as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Classification of Assessment of Effectiveness

Percentage (%)	Criteria
86 - 100	Very Good
72 - 85	Good
58 - 71	Enough
43 - 57	Not Enough
≤ 43	Very Less

Source : Djaali & Muljono (2016)

The effectiveness of experiment-based worksheets can be seen from the scores of students' science process skills after being tested on experiment-based worksheets. A class is said to have completed its learning (classical completeness) if $\geq 75\%$ of students have completed their studies out of the total number of

students. Classical mastery can be calculated by Formula 4 (Trianto, 2013).

$$N_{\text{classical}} = \frac{P_T}{P_S} \times 100\% \quad (4)$$

Explanation:

$N_{\text{classical}}$ = The percentage value of students' classical

learning completeness

P_T = Number of students who complete the study

P_S = The total number of students.

Results and Discussion

The results of the experimental-based student worksheets validation analysis which were validated by 3 experts were obtained in Table 3.

Table 3. Problem-Based Physics E-module Content Validity Analysis Test

Aspect	V	Category
Content Eligibility	0.79	Valid
Eligibility of Presentation	0.78	Valid
Language Eligibility	0.78	Valid
Graphic Eligibility	0.83	Valid

In the content feasibility aspect, a validity index (V) is obtained with an average score of 0.79 and is in the valid category, then for the presentation feasibility aspect a validity index (V) is obtained with an average score of 0.78 and is in the valid category, for the language feasibility aspect, a validity index is obtained (V) with an average score of 0.78 and is in the valid category and for the graphical feasibility aspect, the validity index (V) is obtained with an average score of 0.83 and is in the valid category. The results of the analysis of practitioner questionnaire sheets on the experimental-based student worksheets developed are as table 4.

Table 4. Test Results of Analysis of the Validity of the Practitioner Response Questionnaire Contents with the Aiken's V Index

Aspect	V	Category
Content Eligibility	0.80	Valid
Eligibility of Presentation	0.80	Valid
Language Eligibility	0.78	Valid
Graphic Eligibility	0.84	Valid

The magnitude of the practitioner's assessment is calculated by looking at the percentage of the score given by the practitioner in each category stated in the questionnaire. Practitioners who filled out the experimental-based student worksheets development

assessment questionnaire were 7 science-physics teachers at junior high schools throughout Makassar. The results of the analysis of several practitioners' responses are then tabulated in Table 5.

Table 5. Scores of Practitioners' Assessment of the Quality of Experiment-Based student worksheets Development

Aspect	Practitioner Score							Percent age (%)
	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	
Content Eligibility	18	19	18	20	17	17	17	90.00
Eligibility of Presentation	21	23	22	24	20	22	24	92.86
Language Eligibility	14	15	15	16	11	14	15	89.29
Graphic Eligibility	24	23	22	22	21	23	18	91.07

The results of the analysis of students' science process skills tests after being given experimental-based worksheets are tabulated in Table 6.

Table 6. Value of Science Process Skills for Class VIII A Students of SMPN 51 Makassar

Percentage (%)	Classification	Number of Students
86 - 100	Very good	1
72 - 85	Good	12
58 - 71	Enough	19
43 - 57	Not Enough	0
≤ 43	Very Less	0

The percentage of students' science process skills based on the achievement of minimum and classical completeness scores after being given an experiment-based worksheet for class XI SMPN 51 Makassar can be seen in Table 7.

Tabel 7. Ketuntasan Hasil Belajar Individu dan Klasikal Peserta Didik

Minimum Completeness Criteria	Classification	Number of Students	Percentage (%)
≥ 65	Complete	24	75
< 65	Not Completed	8	25


Individual and classical completeness after participating in learning using experimental-based worksheets on vibration and wave material obtained from 32 students who took the test obtained as many as 24 students passed and 8 students did not complete. Classically, student completeness is 75%, said to be complete because it achieves the predetermined

classical completeness criteria, namely $\geq 75\%$. This was reinforced by Arimurti & Purnomo's research (2018) that Problem-Based Learning worksheets were developed to improve students' science process skills to achieve 87% student completeness (Safitri et al., 2022). Overall, a conclusion can be drawn that there are effective experimental-based worksheets used in developing the science process skills of Class VIII students of SMPN 51 Makassar.

Practitioner response questionnaires were assessed using a Likert scale with rating categories namely 1 (very irrelevant/very inappropriate), 2 (irrelevant/inappropriate), 3 (relevant/appropriate), 4 (very relevant/very appropriate). The average practitioner response questionnaire analysis results obtained a percentage of 90.80%. The effectiveness of

student worksheets based on science process skills can be seen from the results of students' science process skills tests. A total of 24 students met the minimum passing standards set, while 8 students did not. In other words, 75% of class VIII students have achieved the specified Minimum Completeness Criteria.

Based on the results of the students' science process skill tests and the theory of effectiveness, it can be stated that the students' classical learning completeness has met the minimum standard of mastery. As for one of the factors that influence there are still students who have not met the minimum completeness due to the limited experimental tools and materials used so that students do not have more opportunities to practice their science process skills during the experimental process.



LKPD 01

GETARAN PADA BANDUL

Tujuan Kegiatan

- Untuk menentukan hubungan panjang tali dengan periode dan frekuensi pada bandul
- Untuk menentukan hubungan massa bandul dengan periode dan frekuensi pada bandul

KELOMPOK :

Nama :
NIS :
Kelas :

D. Alat dan Bahan

- Beban bulat dengan massa 50 gram, 100 gram, dan 150 gram 3 buah
- Gantungan di ujung meja dengan paku 1 buah
- Stop Watch pada Handphone 1 buah
- Tali dengan panjang 100 cm 1 buah
- Busur derajat 1 buah
- Mistar 1 buah

E. Variabel Eksperimen

1. Kegiatan A

a. Variabel Kontrol

.....

Keterangan : variabel kontrol yaitu variabel yang dibuat sama dan terbandar agar tidak berpengaruh terhadap hasil percobaan

b. Variabel Manipulasi

.....

Keterangan : Variabel manipulasi yaitu variabel yang sengaja dapat diubah dan dibuat berbeda sehingga mempengaruhi variabel respon

c. Variabel Respon

.....

Keterangan : Variabel yang berubah sebagai hasil atau akibat dari adanya variabel manipulasi. Ketika variabel manipulasi berubah, variabel respon ikut berubah


2. Kegiatan B

a. Variabel Kontrol

.....

Keterangan : variabel kontrol yaitu variabel yang dibuat sama dan terbandar agar tidak berpengaruh terhadap hasil percobaan

A. Dasar Teori



Gambar 1.1 Bandul Sederhana

Ketika beban digantungkan pada ayunan dan tidak diberikan gaya maka akan diam di titik kesetimbangan B. Jika beban ditarik dengan simpangan θ kecil ke titik A dan dilepaskan, maka beban akan bergerak ke B, C, lalu kembali lagi ke A. Gerakan beban akan terjadi berulang secara periodik, dengan kata lain beban pada ayunan di atas melakukan yang disebut getaran.

Hubungan setiap besaran dalam hal ini disebut variabel. Variabel adalah besaran yang dapat mengubah nilai pada bandul sederhana terhadap getaran. Variabel pada bandul sederhana diidentifikasi sebagai berikut.

- Periode (T)**
Periode ayunan (T) adalah waktu yang diperlukan benda untuk melakukan satu getaran (disebut satu getaran jika benda bergerak dari titik di mana benda tersebut mulai bergerak dan kembali lagi ke titik tersebut). Periode dapat dihitung dengan menggunakan rumus $T = \frac{1}{f}$. Satuan periode adalah sekon atau detik.
- Frekuensi (f)**
Selain periode, terdapat juga frekuensi yaitu banyaknya getaran yang dilakukan oleh benda selama satu detik. Frekuensi dapat dihitung dengan menggunakan rumus $f = \frac{1}{T}$. Satuan frekuensi adalah 1/sekond atau s^{-1} , 1/sekond

C. Tabel Hasil Eksperimen

Berdasarkan hasil kegiatannya, masukkan data yang telah diperoleh ke dalam tabel di bawah ini:

1. Kegiatan A

Tabel 1.1 Pengaruh Panjang Tali dengan Periode dan Frekuensi

Panjang Tali (cm)	Percobaan	Waktu Getaran (s)	Rerata
15	1		
	2		
	3		
30	1		
	2		
	3		
45	1		
	2		
	3		

2. Kegiatan B

Tabel 1.2 Pengaruh Massa Bandul dengan Periode dan Frekuensi

Panjang Tali (cm)	Percobaan	Waktu Getaran (s)	Rerata
50	1		
	2		
	3		
100	1		
	2		
	3		
150	1		
	2		
	3		

B. Rumusan Masalah

-
-

Keterangan :
Dalam membuat rumusan masalah, perlu ditubungkan dengan tujuan percobaan. Rumusan masalah berupa kalimat Tanya dan mengandung 2 variabel, yaitu variabel manipulasi dan respon.

C. Hipotesis

-
-

Keterangan : Hipotesis berisi dugaan sementara berdasarkan teori yang ada untuk menjawab rumusan masalah.

H. Analisis Data

Dari tabel hasil percobaan yang diperoleh, analisislah data-data pada tabel tersebut!

1. Kegiatan A

a. Pada tali 15 cm, diperoleh rerata jumlah getaran sebanyak
Sehingga periode dan frekuensi bandul yang diperoleh menggunakan persamaan:
 $T = \frac{\text{waktu getaran}}{\text{banyaknya getaran}} = \frac{\dots}{\dots} = \dots \text{ s}$
dan, $f = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{\dots} = \dots \text{ Hz}$

b. Pada tali 30 cm, diperoleh rerata jumlah getaran sebanyak
Sehingga periode dan frekuensi bandul yang diperoleh menggunakan persamaan:
 $T = \frac{\text{waktu getaran}}{\text{banyaknya getaran}} = \frac{\dots}{\dots} = \dots \text{ s}$
dan, $f = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{\dots} = \dots \text{ Hz}$

c. Pada tali 45 cm, diperoleh rerata jumlah getaran sebanyak
Sehingga periode dan frekuensi bandul yang diperoleh menggunakan persamaan:
 $T = \frac{\text{waktu getaran}}{\text{banyaknya getaran}} = \frac{\dots}{\dots} = \dots \text{ s}$
dan, $f = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{\dots} = \dots \text{ Hz}$

Figure 2. An example of an experiment-based Physics student worksheets

1 Conclusion

Based on the research that has been done, it can be concluded that the experimental-based student worksheets in science learning class VIII meet the criteria of being valid/fit for testing based on the results of expert agreement index analysis with an Aiken's V validity coefficient of 0.79. In addition, practitioners' assessment of student worksheets based on experiments in science learning class VIII SMPN 51 Makassar which has been developed gives a positive assessment with a percentage of 90.80% and is in the very good category. Furthermore, the effectiveness of using experiment-based worksheets to cultivate the science process skills of class VIII students of SMPN 51 Makassar meets the classical completeness criteria with a percentage of 75% of students fulfilling the Minimum Completeness Criteria.

Acknowledgments

1 Thank you to the thesis supervisor who has guided this literature study to completion. 1 Thanks to the University of Mataram for facilitating the creation of this article. Thanks to parents, siblings and friends in arms for their prayers, cooperation and support.

References

- Aji, S.D., Hudha M.N., Rismawati A.Y. (2017). Pengembangan Modul Pembelajaran Fisika Berbasis Problem Based Learning untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Fisika. *SEJ (Science Education Journal)*, 1(1), 36-51. Retrieved from <https://sej.umsida.ac.id/index.php/sej/article/view/1580>
- Aldiyah, E. (2021). Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik (Lkpd) Pengembangan Sebagai Sarana Peningkatan Keterampilan Proses Pembelajaran Ipa Di Smp. *TEACHING: Jurnal Inovasi Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 1(1), 67-76. Retrieved from <https://jurnalp4i.com/index.php/teaching/article/view/85/74>
- Azwar, S. (2012). *Reliabilitas dan Validitas*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar
- Branch, R. M. (2009). *Instructional Design-The ADDIE Approach*. New York: Springer
- Djaali, P Muljono. (2016). *Pengukuran dalam Bidang Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negara Jakarta.
- Eva S. D., Arsyad M., & Khaeruddin. (2019). Desain Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik Berbasis Eksperimen pada Materi Fisika Kelas X Semester Genap. *Jurnal Sains dan Pendidikan Fisika (JSPF)*, 45-51. <https://doi.org/10.35580/jspf.v15i1.9406>
- Gusti, D. A., & Ratnawulan, R. (2021) Efektivitas LKPD IPA Terpadu Tema Energi dalam Kehidupan Dengan PBL Terintegrasi Pembelajaran Abad 21 untuk Meningkatkan Sikap Peserta Didik. *Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran Fisika*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.24036/jppf.v7i1.111939>
- Islami, M., Khaeruddin, & Azis, A. (2019). Pengaruh Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik (LKPD) Berbasis Inkuiri Terbimbing terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains Peserta Didik Kelas XI SMA Negeri 8 Makassar. *Jurnal Sains dan Pendidikan Fisika (JSPF)*, 15(2), 39-49. <https://doi.org/10.35580/jspf.v15i2.11035>
- Khuzaimah, AU., Amin, B.D., Arafah, K. (2022). Physics Problem Based E-Modul Development to Improve Student's Physics Concept Understanding. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 8(4), 2389-2395. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v8i4.2009>
- Kristyowati, R., & Purwanto, A. (2019). Pembelajaran Literasi Sains Melalui Pemanfaatan Lingkungan. *Scholaria: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan*, 9(2), 183-191. <https://doi.org/10.24246/j.js.2019.v9.i2>
- Nuryani, A., Masithoh, N. D., & Nugraha, V. A. (2022). Tinjauan Literatur Tentang Pengembangan Pembelajaran Dalam Mengimplementasikan Kurikulum 2013. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran*, 1(1), 15-23. Retrieved from <https://journal.edupartnerpublishing.co.id/index.php/JIPP/article/view/7>
- Prasasti, P. A. T. (2017). Efektivitas Scientific Approach with Guided Experiment pada Pembelajaran IPA untuk Memberdayakan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa Sekolah Dasar. *Profesi Pendidikan Dasar*, 4(1), 19-26. Retrieved from <https://journals.ums.ac.id/index.php/ppd/article/view/3623>
- Prastowo, A. (2015). *Panduan Kreatif Membuat Bahan Ajar*. Yogyakarta: Diva Press
- Rauf, R. A. A., Rasul, M. S., Mansor, A. N., Othman, Z., & Lyndon, N. (2013). Inculcation of science process skills in a science classroom. *Asian Social Science*, 9(8), 1911-2017. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v9n8p47>
- Riduwan. (2011). *Dasar-dasar Statistika*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Safitri, W., Budiarmo, A. S., & Wahyuni, S. (2022). Pengembangan e-LKPD Berbasis Problem Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa SMP. *Saintifika*, 24(1), 30-41. <https://doi.org/10.19184/saintifika.v24i1.30273>
- Ongowo, R. O., & Indoshi, F. C. (2013). Science Process Skills in the Kenya Certificate of Secondary

- Education Biology Practical Examinations. *Creative Education*, 4(11), 713-717. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ce.2013.411101>
- Taufik M., Sukmadinata N.S., Abdulhak I., Tumbelaka B.Y. (2010). Desain Model Pembelajaran Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Dalam Pembelajaran IPA (Fisika) Sekolah Menengah Pertamadi Kota Bandung. *Berkala Fisika*, 13(2), 31-44. Retrieved from https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/berkala_fisika/article/view/3046
- Trianto, (2013). *Model pembelajaran terpadu*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Widyawati, A., & Sujatmika, S. (2020). Electronic student worksheet based on ethnoscience increasing hots: literature review. In *Proceedings: The International Conference on Technology, Education, and Science*, 2(1), 27-31. Retrieved from <https://jurnal.ustjogja.ac.id/index.php/incotes/article/view/9538>

● **15% Overall Similarity**

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 11% Internet database
- 5% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 4% Submitted Works database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	researchgate.net Internet	6%
2	jurnal.ustjogja.ac.id Internet	1%
3	Syiah Kuala University on 2022-12-25 Submitted works	<1%
4	Universiti Sains Malaysia on 2022-04-07 Submitted works	<1%
5	D A Dipuja, L Lufri, Y Ahda. "Development Biology Worksheet Oriented ... Crossref	<1%
6	Nur Hayati, Mucharommah Sartika Ami. "Students' learning activities a... Crossref	<1%
7	core.ac.uk Internet	<1%
8	ejournal.umm.ac.id Internet	<1%

- 9 Mega Suliani, Dwi Juniati, Agung Lukito. "Analysis of students' metaco... <1%
Crossref
-
- 10 Haryanto Haryanto, Asrial Asrial, M. Dwi Wiwik Ernawati. "E-Worksheet ... <1%
Crossref
-
- 11 Universitas Negeri Surabaya The State University of Surabaya on 2018-... <1%
Submitted works
-
- 12 ejournal.undiksha.ac.id <1%
Internet
-
- 13 ppjp.ulm.ac.id <1%
Internet
-
- 14 N L Choirunnisa, P Prabowo, S Suryanti. "Improving Science Process S... <1%
Crossref
-
- 15 Universitas Negeri Surabaya The State University of Surabaya on 2020-... <1%
Submitted works
-
- 16 digilib.uinkhas.ac.id <1%
Internet
-
- 17 growingscholar.org <1%
Internet
-
- 18 journal.unnes.ac.id <1%
Internet

● Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- Cited material
- Manually excluded sources
- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less than 10 words)
- Manually excluded text blocks

EXCLUDED SOURCES

jppipa.unram.ac.id

Internet

1%

EXCLUDED TEXT BLOCKS

JPPIPA 9(1) (2023)Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPAJournal of Research in Scienc...

www.researchgate.net

Indonesia.Received: November

www.researchgate.net

Experiment-based research on the development of student worksheets (SW) inSci...

www.researchgate.net

Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA (JPPIPA

Universitas Samudra on 2023-01-13

Experiment

www.jppipa.unram.ac.id