An Over view on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces To by Muhammad Abdy

Submission date: 14-Jun-2021 03:35PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1606181418 File name: PROSIDING_INTERNASIONAL-6.pdf (1.22M) Word count: 3657 Character count: 15338 Journal of Physics: Conference Series

PAPER · OPEN ACCESS

An Over view on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces

5 To cite this article: M Abdy et al 2021 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1752 012005

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



Journal of Physics: Conference Series

1752 (2021) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012005

An Over view on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces

M Abdy^{1*}, S Zenin² and Irwan¹

¹Department of Mathematics, FMIPA, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia ²Department of Mathematics with Computer Graphics, Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia

*e-mail: muh.abdy@unm.ac.id

Abstract. We present a brief overview some fundamental results on the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, and give some introductory results about fuzzy open set, fuzzy closed set, fuzzy neighborhood, fuzzy interior set, fuzzy continuity, fuzzy compactness and fuzzy connectedness in these spaces.

Keywords: Fuzzy sets, instuitionistic fuzzy sets, topological, spaces

1. Introduction

The theory of fuzzy sets proposed by Zadeh [1] in 1965, has shown successful applications in various fields. After the pioneering work of Zadeh, some researchers began to study both the theory and its applications. Chang [2] defined fuzzy topology by utilizing the definition of topology in the classical sets. Then [3] and [4] introduced fuzzy graphs and fuzzy groups. Furthermore, several other researchers continue to develop the theoretical aspects of the fuzzy set [6][7][8][9][10][11].

In the fuzzy set theory, the membership degree of an element is a value at [0, 1]. However, it may not always be true that the nonmembership degree of an element in the fuzzy set is equal to 1 minus the membership degree because there may be some degree of hesitation in determining the membership degree. Therefore, a generalization of the fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [12], [13], known as intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Some applications of the Atanassov's concept have been successfully implemented, such as in the decision making, medical field, pattern recognition, and so on [14].

An important problem in intuitionistic fuzzy sets is to obtain an appropriate concept of g tuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. The problem has been studied by Coker [15]. He has defined the notion of guitionistic fuzzy topological spaces refer to Chang's topology concept. The concept of (r,s)-connected fuzzy sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces was introduced [16] and investigated some properties of them. Then [17] presented the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy points and fuzzy neighborhoods, and [18] studied some types of fuzzy connectedness in Coker's intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces concept. Park [19] introduced the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces concept. Recently, [20] investigated the concept of intuitionigic I-fuzzy quasicoincident neighborhood systems of intuitionistic fuzzy points. They investigated the relation between the category of intuitionistic I-fuzzy quasicoincident neighborhood spaces and the category of intuitionistic I-fuzzy topological spaces, and construct the concept of generated intuitionistic I-fuzzy topology by using fuzzifying topologies. The main purpose of this paper is to overview of the concepts of topology in intuitionistic fuzzy sets, such as intuitionistic fuzzy open set, intuitionistic fuzzy closed set, intuitionistic fuzzy neighborhood, intuitionistic fuzzy



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012005

interior set, intuitionistic fuzzy continuity, intuitionistic fuzzy compactness, and intuitionistic fuzzy connectedness.

1752 (2021) 012005

2. Brief introduction of intuitionistic fuzzy set

Definition 2.1.

But U be a nonempty fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS in short) A, written as \hat{A} , in U is a set having the form

 $\hat{A} = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) | x \in U \}$

where the value of the functions $\mu_{\hat{A}}: U \to [0,1]$ and $\gamma_{\hat{A}}: U \to [0,1]$ define the membership degree and non-membership degree of each element $x \in U$ to the set \hat{A} , respectively, and $\forall x \in U$, we have

$$0 \le \mu_{\hat{\lambda}}(x) + \gamma_{\hat{\lambda}}(x) \le 1$$

The amount $\eta_{\hat{A}}(x) = 1 - (\mu_{\hat{A}}(x) + \gamma_{\hat{A}}(x))$ is called the hesitation part, which may cater to either membership value or nonmembership value or both. For the sake simplicity, we shall use the symbol $\hat{A} = (x, \mu_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{A}})$ for the IFS $\hat{A} = \{(x, \mu_{\hat{A}}(x), \gamma_{\hat{A}}(x)) | x \in U\}$

Example 2.2. 2

Let $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)) | x \in U\}$ be a fuzzy set on a nonempty set *U*. We can denote the fuzzy set *A* as $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x)) | x \in U\}$. It's obviously that every fuzzy set *A* on *U* is an IFS. Definition 2.2.

Let $\hat{A} = (x, \mu_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{A}})$ and $\hat{B} = (x, \mu_{\hat{B}}, \gamma_{\hat{B}})$ be two IFSs in nonempty set U, then:

1. $\overline{\hat{A}} \subseteq \widehat{B}$ iff $\mu_{\hat{A}} \leq \mu_{\hat{B}}$ and $\gamma_{\hat{A}} \geq \gamma_{\hat{B}} \quad \forall x \in U$

- 2. $\hat{A} = \hat{B}$ iff $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{B}$ and $\hat{A} \supseteq \hat{B}$
- 3. $\hat{A} = (x, \gamma_{\hat{A}}, \mu_{\hat{A}})$
- 4. $\hat{A} \cup \hat{B} = (x, \max(\mu_{\hat{A}}, \mu_{\hat{B}})), \min(\gamma_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{B}}))$.
- 5. $\hat{A} \cap \hat{B} = (x, \min(\mu_{\hat{A}}, \mu_{\hat{B}})), \max(\gamma_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{B}})) \dots$
- 6. [] $\hat{A} = (x, \mu_{\lambda}, 1 \mu_{\lambda})$

7.
$$\langle \rangle \hat{A} = (x, 1 - \gamma_{\lambda}, \gamma_{\lambda})$$

Coker [15] generalized the operations of intersection and union in Definition 2.2 to any collections of IFSs as follows

Definition 2.3.

Let $\{\hat{A}_i | i \in J\}$ be an arbitrary collections of IFS in U, then

1. $\bigcup_{i} \hat{A}_{i} = (x, \max_{i}(\mu_{\hat{A}}), \min_{i}(\gamma_{\hat{A}}))$

2.
$$\bigcap \hat{A}_i = (x, \min_i(\mu_{\hat{A}_i}), \max_i(\gamma_{\hat{A}_i}))$$

Definition 2.4.

Let 1_{\cup} and 0_{\cup} be IFSs in U, we define as $1_{\cup} = \{(x,1,0) \mid x \in U\}$ and $0_{\cup} = \{(x,0,1) \mid x \in U\}$. Corollary 2.5.

Let \hat{A} , $\hat{I}_{\mathbb{B}}$ \hat{C} and \hat{D} be IFSs in U, then:

1. $\hat{A} \subset \hat{B}$ and $\hat{C} \subset \hat{D}$ then $\hat{A} \cup \hat{C} \subset \hat{B} \cup \hat{D}$ and $\hat{A} \cap \hat{C} \subset \hat{B} \cap \hat{D}$

- 2. $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{B}$ and $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{C}$ then $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{B} \cap \hat{C}$
- 3. $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{C}$ and $\hat{B} \subseteq \hat{C}$ then $\hat{A} \cup \hat{B} \subseteq \hat{C}$
- 4. $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{B}$ and $\hat{B} \subseteq \hat{C}$ then $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{C}$

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

IOP Publishing 1752 (2021) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012005

5. $\hat{A} \cup \hat{B} = \hat{A} \cap \hat{B}$ 6. $\overline{\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}} = \overline{\hat{A}} \cup \overline{\hat{B}}$ 7. $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{B}$ then $\overline{\hat{A}} \supseteq \overline{\hat{B}}$ 8. $\hat{A} = \hat{A}$ 9. $\overline{0}_{\Box} = 1_{\Box}$ and $\overline{1}_{\Box} = 0_{\Box}$ Proof We will only prove7 art 6, the others are obviously. 6. Let $\hat{A} = (x, \mu_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{A}}), \hat{B} = (x, \mu_{\hat{B}}, \gamma_{\hat{B}})$, then $\hat{A} \cap \hat{B} = (x, \min(\mu_{\hat{A}}, \mu_{\hat{B}})), \max(\gamma_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{B}}))$, so we have $\hat{A} \cap \hat{B} = (x, \max(\gamma_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{B}}), \min(\mu_{\hat{A}}, \mu_{\hat{B}})).$ And $\overline{\hat{A}} = (x, \gamma_{\hat{\lambda}}, \mu_{\hat{\lambda}}), \ \overline{\hat{B}} = (x, \gamma_{\hat{B}}, \mu_{\hat{B}})$, so we have $\overline{\hat{A}} \cup \overline{\hat{B}} = (x, \max(\gamma_{\hat{\lambda}}, \gamma_{\hat{B}}), \min(\mu_{\hat{\lambda}}, \mu_{\hat{B}}))$ Hence, $\hat{A} \cap \hat{B} = \hat{A} \cup \hat{B}$ Definition 2.6. Consider U and V two nongopty sets and given a function $f: U \to V$. (a). Let $\hat{B} = (y, \mu_{\hat{B}}, \gamma_{\hat{B}})$ be an IFS in V, the preimage of \hat{B} by f denoted by $f^{-1}(\hat{B})$ is an IFS in U such that $f^{-1}(\hat{B}) = \{(x, f^{-1}(\mu_{\hat{B}})(x), f^{-1}(\gamma_{\hat{B}})(x)) \mid x \in U\}$ (b). Let $\hat{A} = (x, \mu_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{A}})$ be an IFS in U, the image of \hat{A} by f denoted by $f(\hat{A})$ is an IFS in V such that $f(\hat{A}) = \{(y, f(\mu_{\hat{A}})(y), (1 - f(1 - \gamma_A))(y)) | y \in V\}$, with $f(\mu_{\hat{A}}) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_{\hat{A}}(x) & ; f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi \\ 0 & ; f^{-1}(y) = \phi \end{cases}$ and $(1 - f(1 - \gamma_{A}))(y)) = \begin{cases} \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \gamma_{A}(x) & ; f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi \\ 1 & ; f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi \end{cases}$ Proposition 2.7. (The properties of images and preimages) Let $f: U \to V$ be a function, \hat{A} and \hat{A}_i $(i \in J)$ IFSs in U, \hat{B} and \hat{B}_k $(k \in K)$ IFSs in V, with $\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \dot{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{B} = (y, \mu_{\dot{B}}, \gamma_{\dot{B}}), \quad \hat{A}_i = (x, \mu_{\dot{A}_i}, \gamma_{\dot{A}_i}), \text{ and } \quad \hat{B}_k = (y, \mu_{\dot{B}_k}, \gamma_{\dot{B}_k}),$ 1. $\hat{A}_1 \subseteq \hat{A}_2$ then $f(\hat{A}_1) \subseteq f(\hat{A}_2)$ 2. $\hat{B}_1 \subseteq \hat{B}_2$ then $f^{-1}(\hat{B}_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(\hat{B}_2)$ 3. $\hat{A} \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\hat{A}))$; if f is an injective function then $\hat{A} = f^{-1}(f(\hat{A}))$ 4. $f(f^{-1}(\hat{B})) \subseteq \hat{B}$; if f is a surjective function then $\hat{B} = f(f^{-1}(\hat{B}))$ 5. $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{k}\hat{B}_{k}) = \bigcup_{k} f^{-1}(\hat{B}_{k})$ 6. $f^{-1}(\bigcap \hat{B}_k) = \bigcap f^{-1}(\hat{B}_k)$ 7. $f(\bigcup_{i}\hat{A}_{i}) = \bigcup_{i} f(\hat{A}_{i})$ 8. $f(\bigcap_{i}\hat{A}_{i}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i} f(\hat{A}_{i}); \text{ if } f \text{ is an injective function then } f(\bigcap_{i}\hat{A}_{i}) = \bigcap_{i} f(\hat{A}_{i})$ 9. $f^{-1}(1_{\square}) = 1_{\square}; f^{-1}(0_{\square}) = 0_{\square}$ 10. $f(0_{\Box}) = 0_{\Box}$ 11. If f is a surjective function then $f(1_{\Box}) = 1_{\Box}$

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012005

12. $f^{-1}(\overline{\hat{B}}) = \overline{f^{-1}(\hat{B})}$ 13. If f is a surjective function then $\overline{f(\hat{A})} \subseteq f(\overline{\hat{A}})$ Proof. 6. $f^{-1}(\bigcap_{k}\hat{B}_{k}) = f^{-1}(\{(y,\min_{k}\mu_{\hat{B}_{k}},\max_{k}\gamma_{\hat{B}_{k}}) | y \in V\}) = \{(x,f^{-1}(\min_{k}\mu_{\hat{B}_{k}}),f^{-1}(\max_{k}\gamma_{\hat{B}_{k}})) | x \in U\} = \{(x,\min_{k}(\mu_{f^{-1}(\hat{B}_{k})}),\max_{k}(\gamma_{f^{-1}(\hat{B}_{k})})) | x \in U\} = \{(x,\min_{k}(\mu_{f^{-1}(\hat{B}_{k})}),\max_{k}(\gamma_{f^{-1}(\hat{B}_{k})})) | x \in U\} = \bigcap_{k} f^{-1}(\hat{B}_{k})$ 9. $f^{-1}(0_{0}) = f^{-1}(\{(y,0,1) | y \in V\} = \{(x,f^{-1}(0),f^{-1}(1)) | x \in U\} = \{(x,0,1) | x \in U\} = 0_{0}$ 10. $f(0_{0}) = \{(y,f(0),(1-f(1-1))) | y \in V\} = \{(y,0,1) | y \in V\} = 0_{0}$ 11. $f(1_{0}) = \{(y,f(1),(1-f(1-0)) | y \in V\} = \{(y,f(1),(1-f(1))) | y \in V\},$ If f is a surjective function then f(1) = 1. So that, $\{(y,f(1),(1-f(1))) | y \in V\} = \{(y,1,0) | y \in V\} = 1_{0}$

1752 (2021) 012005

12. Since
$$f^{-1}(\overline{\hat{B}}) = \{(x, f^{-1}(\gamma_{\hat{B}}), f^{-1}(\mu_{\hat{B}})) | x \in U\}$$
 and $\overline{f^{-1}(\hat{B})} = \overline{\{(x, f^{-1}(\mu_{\hat{B}}), f^{-1}(\gamma_{\hat{B}})) | x \in U\}} = \{(x, f^{-1}(\gamma_{\hat{B}}), f^{-1}(\mu_{\hat{B}})) | x \in U\}$ then we obtain the required result.

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces

Coker [15] constructed intuitionistic fuzzy topology or IFT for short concept by generalizing Chang's fuzzy topology concept.

Definition 3.1

Let \hat{A} be an IFS on a nonempty set U and τ is a collection of \hat{A} , then τ is said to be IFT for U if it satisfy the following axioms:

(A1)
$$0_{\Box}, l_{\Box} \in \tau$$

(A2) If
$$O_1, O_2 \in \tau$$
 then $O_1 \cap O_2 \in \tau$

(A3) If
$$O_i \in \tau$$
 for each $i \in I$ then $\bigcup O_i \in \tau$

The pair (U,τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (IFTS in short). Any member of τ is called as a τ -intuitionistic fuzzy open set or τ -IFOS for short in U, and the complement of a τ -IFOS in an IFTS is called as τ -intuitionistic fuzzy closed set or τ -IFCS for short. Proposition 3.2

If (U,τ) is an IFTS on U then several IFTSs on U can be constructed by following way:

1. $\tau_{0,1} = \{[]O | O \in \tau\}$

2. $\tau_{0,2} = \{\langle \rangle O | O \in \tau \}$

Proof.

We shall only prove 1, and another is similar.

(A1) $1_{\Box} = (x,1,0) = (x,1,1-0) \in \tau_{0,1}$ and $0_{\Box} = (x,0,1) = (x,0,1-0) \in \tau_{0,1}$

(A2) Let $O_1, O_2 \in \tau_{0,1}$, then we have $O_1 = (x, \mu_{O_1}, 1 - \mu_{O_1})$ and $O_2 = (x, \mu_{O_2}, 1 - \mu_{O_2})$. So that $O_1 \cap O_2 = (x, \min(\mu_{O_1}, \mu_{O_2}), \max(1 - \mu_{O_1}, 1 - \mu_{O_2})) = (x, \min(\mu_{O_1}, \mu_{O_2}), 1 - \min(\mu_{O_1}, \mu_{O_2})) \in \tau_{0,1}$

(A3) Let $O_i \in \tau_{0,1}$ then $\bigcup O_i = (x, \max_i \mu_{O_i}, \min(1 - \mu_{O_i})) = (x, \max_i \mu_{O_i}, 1 - \max(\mu_{O_i})) \in \tau_{0,1}$

Definition 3.3.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0,1)$ be two fixed real numbers such that $\alpha + \beta \le 1$ and *U* is a nonempty set, $x \in U$. Then an IFS on *U* defined by $p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x = (x, x_\alpha, 1 - x_{(1-\beta)})$ is called intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP in short) of *U*, and *x* is called the support of $p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x$.

4

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012005

Let $p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{x}$ be an IFP of U, and $\hat{A} = (x, \mu_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{A}})$ be an IFS in U, we have $p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{x} \in \hat{A}$ if $\alpha \le \mu_{\hat{A}}$ and $\beta \ge \gamma_{\hat{A}}$

1752 (2021) 012005

Definition 3.4.

Let \mathcal{B} be a collection of an IFS on U. Then \mathcal{B} is said to be base for an IFT on U, if it satisfies the following:

1. $\forall p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x \in U \ \exists \hat{B} \in \mathcal{B} \ \ni p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x \in \hat{B}$

2. Let $\hat{B}_1, \hat{B}_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $p^x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \hat{B}_1 \cap \hat{B}_2$, then $\exists \hat{B}_3 \in \mathcal{B} \quad \ni p^x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \hat{B}_3 \subseteq \hat{B}_1 \cap \hat{B}_2$ Definition 3.3.

Let $\hat{A} = (x, \mu_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{A}})$ be an IFS in U and (U, τ) is an IFTS. We define intuitionistic fuzzy interior of \hat{A}

and intuitionistic fuzzy closure of \hat{A} , denoted by $i(\hat{A})$ and $c(\hat{A})$, receptively, as follows:

 $i(\hat{A}) = \bigcup \{ O \mid O \in \tau \text{ and } O \subseteq \hat{A} \} \text{ and } c(\hat{A}) = \bigcap \{ C \mid C \in \overline{\tau} \text{ and } \hat{A} \subseteq C \}$ Proposition 3.4.

Let $\hat{A} = (x, \mu_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{A}})$ be an IFS in U. Then $c(\overline{\hat{A}}) = \overline{\operatorname{int}(\hat{A})}$ and $i(\overline{\hat{A}}) = \overline{c(\hat{A})}$ Proof.

Let the collection $\{(x, \mu_{\hat{\alpha}}, \gamma_{\hat{\alpha}}) | i \in J\}$ be the collection of IFOSs contained in \hat{A} . Then we have

 $i(\hat{A}) = \bigcup \{ (x, \mu_{\hat{o}_i}, \gamma_{\hat{o}_i}) \} = \{ (x, \max_i \mu_{\hat{o}_i}, \min_i \gamma_{\hat{o}_i}) \} \text{ and hence } \overline{i(\hat{A})} = \{ (x, \min_i \gamma_{\hat{o}_i}, \max_i \mu_{\hat{o}_i}) \}.$

Because of $\overline{\hat{A}} = (x, \gamma_{\hat{A}}, \mu_{\hat{A}})$ and $\mu_{\hat{O}_i} \le \mu_{\hat{A}}, \gamma_{\hat{O}_i} \ge \gamma_{\hat{A}} \quad \forall i \in J \text{ then } \{(x, \gamma_{\hat{O}_i}, \mu_{\hat{O}_i})\}$ is the collection of

IFCS containing $\overline{\hat{A}}$, i.e. $c(\overline{\hat{A}}) = (x, \min_{i} \gamma_{\hat{Q}_{i}}, \max_{i} \mu_{\hat{Q}_{i}})$. Hence $\overline{c(\hat{A})} = \overline{i(\hat{A})}$.

This is analogous to proof of $i(\overline{\hat{A}}) = \overline{c(\hat{A})}$

Proposition 3.5.

Let \hat{A} and \hat{B} be IFSs in an IFTS (U,τ) . We have the following properties:

- 1. $i(\hat{A}) \subseteq \hat{A}$ and $\hat{A} \subseteq c(\hat{A})$
- 2. If $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{B}$ then $i(\hat{A}) \subseteq i(\hat{B})$ and If $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{B}$ then $c(\hat{A}) \subseteq c(\hat{B})$
- 3. $i(i(\hat{A})) \subseteq i(\hat{A})$ and $c(c(\hat{A})) \subseteq c(\hat{A})$
- 4. $i(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}) = i(\hat{A}) \cap i(\hat{B})$ and $c(\hat{A} \cup \hat{B}) = c(\hat{A}) \cup c(\hat{B})$
- 5. $i(1_{\square}) = 1_{\square}$ and $c(0_{\square}) = 0_{\square}$

4. Intuitionistic fuzzy neighborhood and fuzzy continuity

Definition 4.1.

Let (U,τ) be an IFTS and $p^x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ an IFP of (U,τ) . Then an intuitionistic fuzzy neighborhood (IFN in

short) of the IFP $p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x$ is an IFS \hat{A} such that $p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x \in \hat{B} \subseteq \hat{A}$ with $\hat{B} \in \tau$. Theorem 4.2.

Let (U,τ) be an IFTS and \hat{A} be an IFS of U. Then we have

 \hat{A} is an τ -IFOS iff \hat{A} is an IFN of $p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x$, $\forall p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x \in \hat{A}$

Proof.

(⇒) Let \hat{A} is an τ -IFOS, then \hat{A} is an IFN $\forall p^x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \hat{A}$

IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012005

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

 $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Suppose that } \hat{A} \text{ is an IFN } \forall p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{x} \in \hat{A} \text{ . Then } \exists \hat{B}_{p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{x}}(\tau \text{ -IFOS in U}) \rightarrow p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{x} \in \hat{B} \subseteq \hat{A} \text{ . So we}$

1752 (2021) 012005

have $\hat{A} = \bigcup \{ p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x \mid p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x \in \hat{A} \} \subseteq \bigcup \{ \hat{B}_{p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^z} \mid p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^x \in \hat{A} \} \subseteq \hat{A}$. Because each $\hat{B}_{p_{(\alpha,\beta)}^z}$ is an τ -IFOS, then \hat{A} is an τ -IFOS in U too.

Definition 4.3.

Let (U,τ) and (V,λ) be two IFTSs, and given a function $f: U \to V$. We say that f is a fuzzy continuous function if and only if the preimage of each IFS in (V,λ) is an IFS in (U,τ) .

The function f will be denoted as fuzzy open function if and only if the image of each IFS in τ is an IFS in λ .

Proposition 4.4.

Given a function $f:(U,\tau) \to (V,\lambda)$, then f is a fuzzy continuous iff the preimage of every IFCS in λ is an IFCS in τ .

Proof.

 (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $f:(U,\tau) \to (V,\lambda)$ is a fuzzy continuous, and given IFS $\hat{B} = (y,\mu_{\hat{R}},\gamma_{\hat{R}})$ in λ , and

IFS $\overline{\hat{B}} = (y, \gamma_{\hat{n}}, \mu_{\hat{n}})$ is the complement of \hat{B} (so $\overline{\hat{B}}$ is an IFCS in λ). We have

 $f^{-1}(\overline{\hat{B}}) = (x, f^{-1}(\gamma_{\hat{B}}), f^{-1}(\mu_{\hat{B}})) = \overline{f^{-1}(\hat{B})} \text{ (proposisi...?), so by definition (4.3) } f^{-1}(\overline{\hat{B}}) = \overline{f^{-1}(\hat{B})} \in \tau$ (\Leftarrow) Let $f: (U, \tau) \to (V, \lambda)$ be a function and the preimage of each IFCS in λ be an IFCS in τ .

Consider $\hat{B} = (y, \mu_{\hat{k}}, \gamma_{\hat{k}})$ is an IFS in λ , then the complement of \hat{B} , i.e. $\hat{B} = (y, \gamma_{\hat{k}}, \mu_{\hat{k}})$, is an λ -

IFCS. $f^{-1}(\overline{\hat{B}}) = (x, f^{-1}(\gamma_{\hat{B}}), f^{-1}(\mu_{\hat{B}})) = \overline{f^{-1}(\hat{B})}$. Because $f: (U, \tau) \to (V, \lambda)$ is a function then

 $f^{-1}: (V, \lambda) \to (U, \tau)$ is also a function, so that $\hat{B} = (y, \mu_{\hat{R}}, \gamma_{\hat{R}})$ is an IFCS in λ . So $f^{-1}(\hat{B}) = \overline{f^{-1}(\hat{B})}$

is an IFCS in U so that $f^{-1}(\hat{B}) \in \tau$. Hence f is the fuzzy continuous.

Proposition 4.5.

The following are equivalent each other.

1. $f: (U,\tau) \to (V,\lambda)$ is fuzzy continuous

2. $f^{-1}(i(\hat{B})) \subseteq i(f^{-1}(\hat{B})) \quad \forall \hat{B} \in V$

3. $c(f^{-1}(\hat{B})) \subseteq f^{-1}(c(\hat{B})) \quad \forall \hat{B} \in V$

5. Intuitionistic fuzzy compactness and fuzzy c5-connectedness

Definition 5.1. Let (U_4) be an IFTS, we have

1. If $\hat{G}_i = \{(x, \mu_{\hat{G}_i}, \gamma_{\hat{G}_i}) | i \in J\}$ is a collection of τ -IFOSs in U, then \hat{G}_i is called fuzzy open cover of

U if it satisfies the condition $\bigcup \hat{G}_i = \mathbf{1}_{\square}$

A finite sub collection of a fuzzy open cover of U (it is also a fuzzy open cover of U) is called a finite sub cover.

sub cover. 2. A collection $\{(x, \mu_{k_i}, \gamma_{k_i}) | i \in J\}$ of τ -IFCS in U satisfies the finite intersection property or FIP

for short if and only if every finite sub collection $\{(x, \mu_{\hat{k}_i}, \gamma_{\hat{k}_i}) | i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ of the collection satisfies

the condition $\bigcap \{ (x, \mu_{\hat{k}_i}, \gamma_{\hat{k}_i}) | i \in J \} \neq 0_{\square}$

Definition 5.2. Let (U,τ) be an IFTS, then it is called fuzzy compact if and only if every fuzzy open cover of U has a finite sub cover Proposition 5.3.

6

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012005

An IFTS (U,τ) is a fuzzy compact if and only if the IFTS $(U,\tau_{0.1})$ is fuzzy compact. Proof.

(⇒) Let (U,τ) be a fuzzy compact and let $\{[]\hat{G}_j | j \in K\}$ be a fuzzy open cover of U in $(U,\tau_{0,i})$.

Because of $\bigcup \{ []\hat{G}_j \mid j \in K \} = 1_{\cup}$, then we have max $\mu_G = 1$. By $\gamma_{G_j} \le 1 - \mu_{G_j}$ then min $\gamma_{G_j} \le 1 - \max \mu_{G_j}$

1752 (2021) 012005

= 1 - 1 = 0. So we have min $\gamma_{G_j} = 0$. Hence $\bigcup \hat{G}_j = I_{\Box}$. Because (U, τ) is fuzzy compact then

 $\exists \hat{G}_1, \hat{G}_2, \dots, \hat{G}_{i_i} \quad \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n \hat{G}_i = \mathbf{1}_{\square} \text{, so we obtain } \max_{i=1}^n (\mu_{G_i}) = 1 \text{ and } \min_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_{G_i}) = 0 \text{. Hence, } (U, \tau_{0,1}) \text{ is fuzzy compact.}$

 (\Leftarrow) Let $(U, \tau_{0,1})$ be fuzzy compact and let $\{\hat{G}_i \mid j \in K\}$ be a fuzzy open cover of U in (U, τ) . Because

of $\bigcup_{j=1} \hat{G}_j = 1_0$, then max $\mu_{G_j} = 1$ and min $(1 - \mu_{G_j}) = 0$. Because $(U, \tau_{0.1})$ is fuzzy compact, then

$$\exists \hat{G}_1, \hat{G}_2, \dots, \hat{G}_{ii} \ni \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ [] \hat{G}_i \} = 1_0 \text{, so we obtain } \max_{i=1}^n (\mu_{G_i}) = 1 \text{ and } \min_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_{G_i}) = 0 \text{. By } \mu_{G_i} \le 1 - \gamma_{G_i} \text{, then } \{ [] \hat{G}_i \} = 1_0 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_{G_i}) = 0 \text{. By } \mu_{G_i} \le 1 - \gamma_{G_i} \text{, then } \{ [] \hat{G}_i \} = 1_0 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_{G_i}) = 0 \text{. By } \mu_{G_i} \le 1 - \gamma_{G_i} \text{, then } \{ [] \hat{G}_i \} = 1_0 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_{G_i}) = 0 \text{. By } \mu_{G_i} \le 1 - \gamma_{G_i} \text{, then } \{ [] \hat{G}_i \} = 0 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_{G_i}) = 0 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_{$$

$$1 = \max_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{G_i} \le 1 - \min_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{G_i}$$
, so we have $\min_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{G_i} = 0$. Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \hat{G}_i = 1_{\square}$, and therefore (U, τ) is fuzzy

compact.

[22] introduced fuzzy C_5 -connected concept, and [15] used the concept in IFS. Definition 5.4.

Let (U,τ) be an IFTS. Then

1. U is called fuzzy C₅-disconnected if \exists (IFOS and IFCS) $\hat{G} \neq \hat{G} \neq 1_{\Box}$ and $\hat{G} \neq 0_{\Box}$

2. U is called fuzzy C5-connected if U is not fuzzy C5-disconnected.

Proposition 5.5.

Let (U,τ) be an IFTS, then U is fuzzy C₅-disconnected if and only if there exists a fuzzy continuous function $f:(U,\tau) \rightarrow (I_D,\tau_D)$ with $f \neq 0$ and $f \neq 1$

Corollary 5.6

Let (U,τ) be an IFTS, then U is fuzzy C₅-connected if and only if there does not exists fuzzy continuous function $f:(U,\tau) \rightarrow (I_D,\tau_D)$ with $f \neq 0$ and $f \neq 1$

Let (U,τ) and (V,λ) be two IFTSs, and given a fuzzy continuous surjection $f: U \to V$. If (U,τ) is fuzzy C₅-connected, then (V,λ) is fuzzy C₅-connected too.

References

- [1] Zadeh L A 1965 Fuzzy sets Information and Control 8 338-352
- [2] Chang C L 1968 Fuzzy topological spaces J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 182-190
- [3] Rosenfeld A 1971 Fuzzy Groups J. Math. Anal Appl. 35 512-517
- [4] Rosenfeld A 1975 Fuzzy Graphs in: L.A. Zadeh, K.S. Fu, M. Shimura (Eds.) Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications (New York: Academic Press) pp 77-95
- [5] Olgun M, Ünver M and Yardımcı Ş 2019 Pythagorean fuzzy topological spaces Complex Intell. Syst. 5 177
- [6] Zhang D and Zhang G 2019 Continuous triangular norm based fuzzy topology Arch. Math. Logic
- [7] Warren and Richard H 1983 Convergence in fuzzy topology Rock. Mount. J. Math. 13 31-36.
- [8] Krishna M and Marapureddy R 2018 Fuzzy graph of semigroup Bull. Int. Math. Virt. Inst. 8 439-448

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1752 (2021) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1752/1/012005

IOP Publishing

- [9] Tom M and Sunitha M S 2015 Strong sum distance in fuzzy graphs Springerplus 4 214
- [10] Yuan X and Lee E S 2004 Fuzzy group based on fuzzy binary operation Computers and Mathematics with Applications 47 631-641
- [11] Akagul M 1988 Some properties of fuzzy groups J. Math. Anal Appl. 133 93-100
- [12] Atanassov K 1983 Intuitionistic fuzzy sets VII ITKR's Session (Sofia)
- [13] Atanassov K 1986 Intuitionistic fuzzy sets Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 87-96
- [14] Chaira T 2015 Thresholding of pathological images using Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy set Int. J. Medic. Eng. Inf. 7 101-109
- [15] Coker D 1997 An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 88 81-89
- [16] Kim YC and Abbas S E 2005 Connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 20 117-134
- [17] Lee S J and Lee E P 2000 The category of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 37 63-76
- [18] Turanli N and Coker D 2000 Fuzzy connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces Fuzzy Sets and Systems 116 369-375
- [19] Park J H 2004 Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces Chaos Solitons Fractals 22 1039–1046.
- [20] Yan C, Wang X, and Nanjing, Intuitionistic i-fuzzy topological spaces Czech. Math. J, 60 233– 252
- [21] Brown L M 1992 Fuzzy ditopological spaces Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. of the Bulkanic Union for Fuzzy System and Artificial Intelligence (BUFSA) (Tranzon) pp 142-145.
- [22] Chaudhuri A K and Das P 1992 Fuzzy connected sets in fuzzy topological spaces Fuzzy Sets and System 49 223 – 229

8

An Over view on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces To

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT		
	0% 19% INTERNET SOURCES	22% PUBLICATIONS	14% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAF	RY SOURCES		
1	Submitted to Far Eastern Student Paper	University	3
2	www.eudoxuspress.com		39
3	Emad A. Az-Zo'bi, Moham Rama F. Uzbashy. "The fu intuitionistic fuzzy topolog Applied Mathematical Sci Publication	nmad F. Mara Indamental g gical spaces", ences, 2014	shdeh, 29 roup of
4	downloads.hindawi.com		29
5	Ippm.ibrahimy.ac.id		29
6	Submitted to Higher Educ Pakistan Student Paper	cation Commi	ission 29
7	www.math.auckland.ac.na	Z	29
8	Submitted to Tikrit Univer	rsity	20

LİANG, Chengyu and YAN, Conghua. "Base and subbase in intuitionistic I-fuzzy topological spaces", Hacettepe Üniversitesi, 2014. Publication

Exclude quotes On

9

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches < 2%

2%